



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/560,907

12/16/2005

Toshinori Sugihara

1035-616

2364

23117 7590 04/16/2008
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER

KIM, JAY C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2815

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/16/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/560,907	Applicant(s) SUGIHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner JAY C. KIM	Art Unit 2815	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed February 13, 2008.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said elements" in a semiconductor device.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, because any of the "group I elements ... or group VII elements" recited in claim 1 cannot comprise (i) hydrogen and (ii) one or more of nitrogen ... stibium. That is, hydrogen is a group I element and nitrogen ... stibium are group V elements. Claims 2 and 4-34 depend on claim 1, and therefore claims 2 and 4-34 are also indefinite.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear whether the elements of the limitation of "each of the elements" refer to the "group I elements ... group VII elements" or the "said elements" recited in claim 1. If the elements of the limitation of "each of the elements" refer to the "said elements", each of the elements cannot correspond to nitrogen ... stibium, because the "said elements" comprise hydrogen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7, 11, 15-20, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Wager et al. (US 2003/0218222).

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Kawasaki et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Fig. 1) comprising an active layer (5) (line 3 of [0037]), to which elements are added (lines 3-4 of [0038]), and which is made of a semiconductor containing ZnO or $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ (lines 1-3 of [0038]), and a blocking member (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) (lines 3-5 of [0037], [0039], and line 6 of [0050]) for blocking the active layer (5) from an atmosphere such that the atmosphere substantially does not influence a region, in which a movable charge moves, of the active layer (5).

Kawasaki et al. differ from the claimed inventions by not showing that group I elements, group III elements, group IV elements, group V elements, or group VII elements are added to the active layer, and that the active layer is made of polycrystalline ZnO or $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$, amorphous ZnO or amorphous $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$, or either mixture of the polycrystalline ZnO and the amorphous ZnO or mixture of the polycrystalline $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ and the amorphous $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$, wherein the elements comprise (i) hydrogen and (ii) one or more of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and

Art Unit: 2815

stibium, or not less than two of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium, so that the active layer is doped with both (i) and (ii) (claim 1), and each of the elements corresponds to nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, or stibium, or the elements correspond to not less than two of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium (claim 2).

Goodman discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 1) comprising an active layer (16) made of polycrystalline or amorphous ZnO (col. 2, lines 7-9).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Goodman teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. comprises polycrystalline or amorphous ZnO, because a polycrystalline or amorphous semiconductor material is commonly used in manufacturing a thin film transistor.

Further regarding claims 1 and 2, Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman differ from the claimed inventions by not showing that group I elements, group III elements, group IV elements, group V elements, or group VII elements are added to the active layer, wherein the elements comprise (i) hydrogen and (ii) one or more of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium, or not less than two of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium, so that the active layer is doped with both (i) and (ii) (claim 1), and each of the elements corresponds to nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, or stibium, or the elements correspond to not less than two of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium (claim 2).

Art Unit: 2815

Wager et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Figs. 9) comprising an active layer (41) made of ZnO (lines 3-4 of [0073]) to which dopants such as N, P and As and mixtures thereof can be added (lines 20-23 of [0038]).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Wager et al. teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dope the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman with the dopants disclosed by Wager et al., because doping a ZnO semiconductor layer with N, P and As is well-known and should be controlled to achieve desired device characteristics in manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising a ZnO active layer.

Further regarding claim 1, Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. differ from the claimed inventions by not showing that the elements comprise (i) hydrogen and (ii) one or more of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium, or not less than two of nitrogen, phosphorus, arsenic, and stibium, so that the active layer is doped with both (i) and (ii).

It would have been obvious, if not inherent, to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. can be unintentionally doped with hydrogen along with the dopants disclosed by Wager et al., because hydrogen is a common impurity that can unintentionally dope a semiconductor layer in a vacuum chamber or an air ambient via incorporation of hydrogen molecules, organic molecules or water molecules into the semiconductor layer.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. differ from the claimed inventions by not showing that the active layer is formed under an atmosphere containing (i) one or more of nitrogen, dinitrogen monoxide, nitrogen monoxide, and nitrogen dioxide, and (ii) one or more of water vapor, hydrogen peroxide, and ammonia (claim 4), and not showing a method comprising the step of forming the active layer under an atmosphere containing (i) one or more of nitrogen, dinitrogen monoxide, nitrogen monoxide, and nitrogen dioxide, and (ii) one or more of water vapor, hydrogen peroxide, and ammonia (claim 5).

Wager et al. further disclose forming a ZnO semiconductor layer in an atmosphere that includes at least one sputter gas including Ar and Ne, and at least one film-modifying gas including oxidative gases including O₂ and N₂O, and dopant gases including N₂ and NH₃ (lines 1-4 and 13-16 of [0041]), and therefore the atmosphere can include a mixture of dinitrogen monoxide (N₂O) and ammonia (NH₃).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Wager et al. teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the ZnO semiconductor layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. under an atmosphere containing N₂O and NH₃, because an oxidative gas such as N₂O is commonly used to reduce oxygen vacancy concentration in a ZnO semiconductor layer, and NH₃ gas is commonly used to dope a ZnO semiconductor layer.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 6, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 1 that the blocking member (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) is made up of different blocking layers (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9).

Regarding claim 7, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that a blocking layer (4b) is made of SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , MgO , Ta_2O_5 , TiO_2 , ZrO_2 , CeO_2 , K_2O , Li_2O , Na_2O , Rb_2O , In_2O_3 , La_2O_3 , Sc_2O_3 , Y_2O_3 , or a solid solution containing at least two of them (lines 5-9 of [0041]).

Regarding claim 11, Kawasaki et al. further comprise for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 6 a gate electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5), a gate insulating layer (4), which serves as a block layer, for insulating the active layer (5) from the gate electrode (3), a source electrode (6) connected to the active layer (5), and a drain electrode (7) connected to the active layer (5), wherein a blocking layer (4b) is made of SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , MgO , Ta_2O_5 , TiO_2 , ZrO_2 , CeO_2 , K_2O , Li_2O , Na_2O , Rb_2O , In_2O_3 , La_2O_3 , Sc_2O_3 , Y_2O_3 , or a solid solution containing at least two of them (lines 5-9 of [0041]).

Regarding claim 15, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 16, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 17, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 18, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 19, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 20, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 27, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 28, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Art Unit: 2815

6. Claims 8, 12, 21, 22, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Wager et al. (US 2003/0218222), and then further in view of Ogawa (US 2002/0056838). The teachings of Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. are discussed above.

Regarding claim 8, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 7 that a blocking layer (9) ([0050]) constituting the blocking layers (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) is made of silicon nitride, and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from (i) each of two electrodes (6 and 7) serving as blocking layers and connected to the active layer (5), and (ii) an insulating layer (4), which serves as a blocking layer and meets the active layer (5), for insulating the active layer (5) from a control electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5).

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing the blocking layer is made of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, K₂O, Li₂O, Na₂O, Rb₂O, In₂O₃, La₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Y₂O₃, ..., or a solid solution containing at least two of them.

Ogawa discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 9) comprising a blocking layer (13) (line 2 of [0181]) for a ZnO semiconductor layer (23) (lines 5-6 of [0177]), wherein the blocking layer (13) can be made of SiO₂ (lines 8-11 of [0077]).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Ogawa teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silicon nitride blocking layer disclosed by

Art Unit: 2815

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. with SiO₂ disclosed by Ogawa, because SiO₂ is commonly used as an alternative to silicon nitride in manufacturing a semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 12, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 11 that a blocking layer (9) ([0050]) constituting the blocking layers (4a, 4b, 6, 7 and 9) is made of silicon nitride, and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from the source electrode (6), the drain electrode (7), and the gate insulating layer (4), each of which serves as a blocking layer.

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing the blocking layer is made of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, Ta₂O₅, TiO₂, ZrO₂, CeO₂, K₂O, Li₂O, Na₂O, Rb₂O, In₂O₃, La₂O₃, Sc₂O₃, Y₂O₃, ..., or a solid solution containing at least two of them.

Ogawa discloses a semiconductor device (Fig. 9) comprising a blocking layer (13) (line 2 of [0181]) for a ZnO semiconductor layer (23) (lines 5-6 of [0177]), wherein the blocking layer (13) can be made of SiO₂ (lines 8-11 of [0077]).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Ogawa teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silicon nitride blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. with SiO₂ disclosed by Ogawa, because SiO₂ is commonly used as an alternative to silicon nitride in manufacturing a semiconductor device.

Art Unit: 2815

Regarding claim 21, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 22, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 29, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 30, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

7. Claims 9, 10, 13, 14, 23-26 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawasaki et al. (US 2003/0047785) in view of Goodman (US 4,204,217) and further in view of Wager et al. (US 2003/0218222), and then further in view of Kaneko et al. (US 5,166,816). The teachings of Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. are discussed above.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Kawasaki et al. further disclose for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 6 that a blocking layer (9) ([0050]) is made of silicon nitride, and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active

Art Unit: 2815

layer (5) separately from (i) each of two electrodes (6 and 7) serving as blocking layers and connected to the active layer (5), and (ii) an insulating layer (4), which serves as a blocking layer and meets the active layer (5), for insulating the active layer (5) from a control electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5).

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. differ from the claimed inventions by not showing that the blocking layer is made of resin.

Kaneko et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Fig. 6) wherein a blocking layer (61) is made of resin (col. 4, line 57), and the blocking layer (61) is so provided as to meet the active layer (54) (col. 4, lines 21-22) separately from (i) each of two electrodes (56 and 57) (col. 4, lines 15-16) serving as blocking layers and connected to the active layer (54), and (ii) an insulating layer (53) (col. 4, line 21), which serves as a blocking layer and meets the active layer (54), for insulating the active layer (54) from a control electrode (52) (col. 4, lines 20-21) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (54).

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Kaneko et al. teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. with the polyimide resin disclosed by Kaneko et al., because a polyimide resin is a well-known material for forming an interlayer insulating film in manufacturing a thin film transistor.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Kawasaki et al. further comprise for the semiconductor device as set forth in claim 6 a gate electrode (3) (line 4 of [0037]) for

Art Unit: 2815

controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (5), a gate insulating layer (4), which serves as a block layer, for insulating the active layer (5) from the gate electrode (3), a source electrode (6) connected to the active layer (5), a drain electrode (7) connected to the active layer (5), wherein a blocking layer (9) is made of silicon nitride ([0050]), and the blocking layer (9) is so provided as to meet the active layer (5) separately from the source electrode (6), the drain electrode (7), and the gate insulating layer (4), each of which serves as a blocking layer.

Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing that the blocking layer is made of a resin.

Kaneko et al. disclose a semiconductor device (Fig. 6) comprising a gate electrode (52) (col. 4, lines 20-21) for controlling move of a movable electric charge in the active layer (54) (col. 4, lines 21-22), a gate insulating layer (53) (col. 4, line 21), which serves as a block layer, for insulating the active layer (54) from the gate electrode (52), a source electrode (57) (col. 4, lines 15-16) connected to the active layer (54), a drain electrode (56) (col. 4, line 16) connected to the active layer (54), wherein a blocking layer (61) is made of a resin (col. 4, line 57), and the blocking layer (61) is so provided as to meet the active layer (54) separately from the source electrode (57), the drain electrode (56), and the gate insulating layer (53), each of which serves as a blocking layer.

Since both Kawasaki et al. and Kaneko et al. teach a semiconductor device, it would have been obvious to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silicon nitride blocking layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. with the

Art Unit: 2815

polyimide resin disclosed by Kaneko et al., because a polyimide resin is a well-known material for forming an interlayer insulating film in manufacturing a thin film transistor.

Regarding claim 23, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 24, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 25, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 26, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Regarding claim 31, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 32, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode

Art Unit: 2815

(8).

Regarding claim 33, Kawasaki et al. further disclose an electronic device (Figs. 8 and 9) comprising, as a switching element (T in Fig. 9), a thin film transistor (Fig. 1) ([0093] and lines 1-3 of [0096]).

Regarding claim 34, Kawasaki et al. further disclose that the switching element (T) is connected to a picture element electrode (8 in Fig. 1) (line 8 of [0037]) such that an image signal is written in or read out from the picture element electrode (8).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicants' arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

Applicants argue that "the cited art fails to disclose or suggest doping with both (i) and (ii) as required by amended claim 1", that "Kawasaki and Goodman are both silent in this regard", that "Wager (originally cited against claim 3) also fails to disclose or suggest adding hydrogen to the ZnO layer", that "doping of a ZnO layer with nitrogen using ammonia gas as the nitrogen source does not inherently add hydrogen as a dopant to the ZnO layer", and that "even the alleged combination fails to meet the invention of claim 1". Examiner has established a basis in fact and/or technical reasoning to reasonably support the determination that the allegedly inherent characteristic necessarily flows from the teachings of the applied prior art. See MPEP 2112. Therefore, the burden is on Applicant to prove that hydrogen is not inherently present. Furthermore, as stated in the rejection of claim 1 in this Office

Art Unit: 2815

Action, it would have been obvious, if not inherent, to the one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the active layer disclosed by Kawasaki et al. in view of Goodman and further in view of Wager et al. can be unintentionally doped with hydrogen along with the dopants disclosed by Wager et al., because hydrogen is a common impurity that can unintentionally dope a semiconductor layer in a vacuum chamber or an air ambient via incorporation of hydrogen molecules, organic molecules or water molecules into the semiconductor layer.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2815

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAY C. KIM whose telephone number is (571)270-1620. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kenneth Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew C. Landau/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815

/J. K./
Examiner, Art Unit 2815

April 11, 2008